## Exhibit A

Examining the 2014-2015 MATH190 Cohort Three Year* Calculus Progression Rate
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## $\longrightarrow$ Intermediate Step

*These outcomes are based on a 3 -year time period. If a student passed a course in the 3 -year time frame (2014-2017)
they are counted as
"successfully completed", irrespective of the number of attempts.


## Success Rates:

- $75 \%(67 / 89)$ successfully completed MATH210 by Spring 2017
- $83 \%$ (142/172) successfully completed MATH191 by Spring 2017
- $73 \%$ (213/291) successfully completed MATH190 by Spring 2017


## Persistence Rates:

- $31 \%(89 / 291)$ of the original MATH190 cohort persisted to MATH210 within a 3 -year time period
- $59 \%(172 / 291)$ of the original MATH190 cohort persisted to MATH191 within a 3-year time period


## Success or Enrollment: Where does the issue of progression lie?

- $\mathbf{2 7 \%}(78 / 291)$ of the MATH190 cohort were unsuccessful in MATH190
- $14 \%(41 / 291)$ of the MATH190 cohort did not enroll in MATH191 even though they were eligible to enroll
- $10 \%(30 / 291)$ of the MATH190 cohort were successful in MATH190 but unsuccessful in MATH191
- $18 \%(53 / 291)$ of the MATH190 cohort did not enroll in MATH210 by Spring 2017 even though they were eligible to enroll
- $\mathbf{8 \%}(22 / 291)$ of the MATH190 cohort were successful in MATH190 and MATH191 but unsuccessful in MATH210
- $23 \%(67 / 291)$ of the MATH190 cohort successfully completed the Calculus sequence (MATH190, MATH191, and MATH210)
$\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of the MATH190 cohort accounted for

Exhibit B

Examining the 2015-2016 MATH190 Cohort Two Year* Calculus Progression Rate

Office of Institutional Research, Planning and Effectiveness January, 2018

## Intermediate Step <br> $\xrightarrow[\text { - - - - - - - }]{ }$ Intermediate Ste

*These outcomes are based on a 2 -year time period. If a student passed a course in the 2-year time frame (2015-2017) they are counted as "successfully completed", irrespective of the number of attempts.

## Success or Enrollment: Where does the issue of progression lie?

- $\mathbf{2 2 \%}(83 / 369)$ of the MATH190 cohort were unsuccessful in MATH190
- $17 \%(63 / 369)$ of the MATH190 cohort did not enroll in MATH191 even though they were eligible to enroll
- $13 \%(47 / 369)$ of the MATH190 cohort were successful in MATH190 but unsuccessful in MATH191
- $21 \%(78 / 369)$ of the MATH190 cohort did not enroll in MATH210 by Spring 2017 even though they were eligible to enroll
- $\mathbf{8 \%}(29 / 369)$ of the MATH190 cohort were successful in MATH190 and MATH191 but unsuccessful in MATH210
- $19 \%(69 / 369)$ of the MATH190 cohort successfully completed the Calculus sequence (MATH190, MATH191, and MATH210)
$\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of the MATH190 cohort accounted for

Exhibit C

Examining the 2016-2017 MATH190 Cohort One Year* Calculus Progression Rate
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## $\longrightarrow$ Intermediate Step

*These outcomes are based on a 1-year time period. If a student passed a course in the 1-year time frame (2016-2017) they are counted as "successfully completed", irrespective of the number of attempts.

## Success or Enrollment: Where does the issue of progression lie?

- $31 \%(108 / 344)$ of the MATH190 cohort were unsuccessful in MATH190
- $34 \%(117 / 344)$ of the MATH190 cohort did not enroll in MATH191 even though they were eligible to enroll
- $12 \%(41 / 344)$ of the MATH190 cohort were successful in MATH190 but unsuccessful in MATH191
- $17 \%(59 / 344)$ of the MATH190 cohort did not enroll in MATH210 by Spring 2017 even though they were eligible to enroll
- $1 \%(3 / 344)$ of the MATH190 cohort were successful in MATH190 and MATH191 but unsuccessful in MATH210
- $5 \%(16 / 344)$ of the MATH190 cohort successfully completed the Calculus sequence (MATH190, MATH191, and MATH210)
$\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of the MATH190 cohort accounted for

